

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. <u>10/670,819</u>	Applicant(s)	
	Examiner Frederick J. Parker	Art Unit 1762	

All Participants:

(1) Frederick J. Parker.

(2) Douglas Ringel

Date of Interview: 12/14/05

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Crititech Website (utilizes supercritical/near supercritical CO₂)
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

The Examiner initiated the call because while Applicants arguments were addressed and persuasive that the "gas" of their claims is a distinctly different state of matter from a "supercritical liquid" because of the latter's solvent / polymerization reaction properties, the issue is unclear for the "near critical CO₂" used in stent coating. Mr Ringel will research the issue and provide evidence/rationale or other appropriate response.

[Signature]
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)